	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/523,186	KALINITCHENKO, IOURI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip A. Johnston	2881	
All Participants:	Status of Application	:	
(1) Phillip A. Johnston.	(3)		
(2) <u>Edward Berkowitz</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 18 September 2007	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)		
Part I.			
·			
Rejection(s) discussed: last office action	. :		
Claims discussed:		•	
1 and 15			
Prior art documents discussed: Sakata (717)		·	
Part II.	•	·	•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATÜRE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr 	The examiner will provide a ite record of the substance of	written summary of the f the interview, since the	substance
	·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	cant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if approp	riate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Permission granted to amend by examiners amendment (a) claim 1, by adding "wherein the passage is located completely within a cone and leads from an inlet to an outlet at said cone aperture"; and (b) amend claim 15 by adding to the preamble "in accord with the structure of claim 1", and to the body of the claim after the phrase, confining the plasma radially the words "within the reaction zone of claim 1"...